JUDGE JOHN H. CHUN 1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 6 7 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. CR22-084JHC 8 Plaintiff, ORDER GRANTING UNOPPOSED 9 MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL AND PRETRIAL MOTIONS DEADLINE 10 JOHN BRALENS, 11 Defendant. 12 THIS COURT, having considered the facts and circumstances contained in the 13 defendant's unopposed motion to continue trial and which are incorporated herein as 14 findings of fact and conclusions of law, FINDS as follows: 15 1. This case is sufficiently complex that it is unreasonable to expect adequate 16 preparation for pretrial proceedings or for the trial itself within the time limits 17 established by the Speedy Trial Act. 18 2. The failure to grant a continuance would deny counsel for the parties the 19 reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the 20 exercise of due diligence. 21 3. As a result, the failure to grant a continuance of the trial date in this case 22 would likely result in a miscarriage of justice. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 23 § 3161(h)(7)(A), the ends of justice served by continuing the trial in this case 24 outweighs the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 25 26

It is now ORDERED that the motion is granted and trial in this matter shall be 1 continued from August 15, 2022, to April 24, 2023, and the pretrial motions deadline to 2 February 1, 2023. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the period of delay from the date 3 of this Order to the new trial date shall be excludable time pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 4 5 § 3161. DATED this 5th day of July 2022. 6 ohn A. Chan 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 9 Presented by, 10 11 s/ Gregory T. Murphy Assistant Federal Public Defender 12 Attorney for John Bralens 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26